THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.ESWARAIAH

WRIT PETITION No.24608 of 2007

Between:

D.Ranga Raju.

.. PETITIONER

and

The Revenue Divisional Officer, Sanga Reddy and another.

.. RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.ESWARAIAH

W.P.No.24608 of 2007

ORDER:

It is the case of the petitioner that against the resumption orders passed by the 2nd respondent in proceedings Nos.B/1815/2007-01 and B/1815/2007-02, dated 27-09-2007, in exercise of the powers conferred under Section 4(1) of A.P.Assigned Lands (Prohibition of Transfers) Act, 1977 (for short "the Act"), in resuming the lands admeasuring Ac.3-00 cents in Sy.No.120/80 and Ac.1-20 cents in Sy.No.120/73 situated at Peddakanjerla Village, Patancheru Mandal, Medak District, on the ground that originally the land admeasuring Ac.3-00 cents in Sy.No.120/80 was assigned in favour of one Agamaiah and the land admeasuring Ac.1-20 cents in Sy.No.120/73 was assigned in favour of one Pilli Laxminarayana and the said assignees transferred the said lands in favour of the petitioner in contravention of sub-section (2) of Section 3 of the Act, the petitioner filed an appeal along with stay application before the 1st respondent on 04-10-2007 and the same are pending. It is stated that pending disposal of the appeal, the 2nd respondent is taking steps to dispossess the petitioner from the lands in question.

As the appeal is pending before the 1st respondent, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I am of the opinion that it is just and proper to direct the 1st respondent to consider and dispose of the appeal itself.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of directing the 1st respondent to consider and dispose of the appeal filed against the order of the 2nd respondent, dated 27-09-2007, in proceedings Nos.B/1815/2007-01 and B/1815/2007-02, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, after giving reasonable opportunity to the petitioner. Pending disposal of the appeal, the 2nd respondent is directed not to dispossess the petitioner from the lands in question. There shall be no order as to costs.

22-11-2007

Prv

-